UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA VERINATA HEALTH, INC., et al., Case No.3:12-cv-05501-SI Plaintiffs, v. ARIOSA DIAGNOSTICS, INC, et al., Defendants. **JURY VERDICT**

When answering the following questions and filling out this Verdict Form, please follow the directions provided throughout the form. Your answer to each question must be unanimous. Some of the questions contain legal terms that are defined and explained in detail in the Jury Instructions. Please refer to the Jury Instructions if you are unsure about the meaning or usage of any legal term that appears in the questions below.

We, the jury, unanimously agree to the answers to the following questions and return them under the instructions of this court as our verdict in this case.

FINDINGS ON INFRINGEMENT CLAIMS FOR THE '794 PATENT

A. Version 1 of Harmony

1. Has Illumina proven that it is more likely than not that Version 1 of Ariosa's Harmony test infringes any of claims 1, 2, 3, 9, or 13 of U.S. Patent No. 7,955,794 (the "'794 patent")?

For each of the claims below, please check "Yes" (for Illumina) or "No" (for Ariosa).

		Yes (Illumina)	No (Ariosa)
a.	Claim 1		
b.	Claim 2		
c.	Claim 3		
d.	Claim 9		
e.	Claim 13		

B. Express License

2. Has Ariosa proven that it is more likely than not that it received an express license from Illumina for Version 1 of Ariosa's Harmony test?

Yes	(tor A	Ariosa)) N	lo (tor	Illumina	1)
-----	---	-------	---------	-----	------	-----	----------	----

United States District Court Northern District of California

27

28

1	C.	Version	2 of Harmony			
2		3. Н	Ias Illumina prov	ven that it is more li	kely than not that Version 2 of Arios	a's
3	Harm	nony test int	fringes any of cla	ims 1, 2, 3, 9, or 13 of	the '794 patent?	
4		For each	of the claims belo	ow, please check "Yes	s" (for Illumina) or "No" (for Ariosa).	
5				Yes (Illumina)	No (Ariosa)	
6		a	. Claim 1			
7		b	. Claim 2			
8		c.	. Claim 3			
9		d	. Claim 9			
10		e.	Claim 13			
11						
12						
13						
14			FINDINGS O	N INVALIDITY FO	R THE '794 PATENT	
15	A.	Anticipa	tion			
16		4. H	Ias Ariosa proven	that it is highly prob	pable that any of claims 1, 2, 3, 9, or 13	of
17	the '7	794 patent v	were "anticipated"	"?		
18		Б 1				
10		For each	of the claims belo	ow, please check "Yes	3" (for Ariosa) or "No" (for Illumina).	
19		For each	of the claims belo	ow, please check "Yes Yes (Ariosa)	s" (for Ariosa) or "No" (for Illumina). No (Illumina)	
20		For each		_		
			. Claim 1	_		
20		a	. Claim 1	_		
20 21		a. b	. Claim 1 . Claim 2 . Claim 3	_		
202122		a. b c.	. Claim 1 . Claim 2 . Claim 3 . Claim 9	_		
20212223		a. b c. d	. Claim 1 . Claim 2 . Claim 3 . Claim 9	_		

1	FINDINGS ON WILLFUL INFRINGEMENT FOR THE '794 PATENT (IF APPLICABLE)				
2	A. Willful Infringement				
3	If there are any claims of the '794 patent for which you answered "Yes" to question 1 and				
4	"No" to question 2 and question 4 or "Yes" to question 3 and "No" to question 4, please answer				
5	the following question. Otherwise, proceed to "FINDINGS ON INFRINGEMENT CLAIMS FOR				
6	THE '430 PATENT."				
7	5. Has Illumina proven that it is more likely than not that Ariosa's infringement was				
8	willful?				
9	Yes (for Illumina) No (for Ariosa)				
10					
11					
12	FINDINGS ON INFRINGEMENT CLAIMS FOR THE '430 PATENT				
13	A. Infringement				
14	6. Has Verinata proven that it is more likely than not that Version 1 of Ariosa's				
15	Harmony test infringed any of claims 1, 4, or 7 of U.S. Patent No. 8,318,430 (the "'430 patent")?				
16	For each of the claims below, please check "Yes" (for Verinata) or "No" (for Ariosa).				
17	Yes (Verinata) No (Ariosa)				
18	a. Claim 1				
19	b. Claim 4				
20	c. Claim 7				
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					

FINDINGS ON INVALIDITY FOR THE '430 PATENT

A. Enablement

7. Has Ariosa proven that it is highly probable that the specification of the '430 patent does not contain a description of the claimed invention that is sufficiently full and clear to enable persons of ordinary skill in the field to make and use the invention for any of claims 1, 4, or 7 of the '430 patent?

For each of the claims below, please check "Yes" (for Ariosa) or "No" (for Verinata).

		Yes (Ariosa)	No (Verinata)
a.	Claim 1		
b.	Claim 4		
c.	Claim 7		

B. Written Description Requirement

8. Has Ariosa proven that it is highly probable that the specification of the '430 patent does not contain an adequate written description of the claimed invention for any of claims 1, 4, or 7 of the '430 patent?

For each of the claims below, please check "Yes" (for Ariosa) or "No" (for Verinata).

		Yes (Ariosa)	No (Verinata)
a.	Claim 1		
b.	Claim 4		
c.	Claim 7		

FINDINGS ON WILLFUL INFRINGEMENT (IF APPLICABLE)

A. Willful Infringement

If there are any claims of the '430 patent for which you answered "Yes" to question 6 **and** "No" to questions 7 and 8, please answer the following question. Otherwise, proceed to "FINDINGS ON PATENT DAMAGES (IF APPLICABLE)."

1	9. Has Verinata proven that it is more likely than not that Ariosa's infringement was
2	willful?
3	Yes (for Verinata) No (for Ariosa)
4	
5	FINDINGS ON PATENT DAMAGES (IF APPLICABLE)
6	A. Damages for the '794 patent (If Applicable)
7	If there are any claims of the '794 patent for which you answered "Yes" to question 1 and
8	"No" to questions 2 and question 4 or "Yes" to question 3 and "No" to question 4, please answer
9	the question below. Otherwise, please proceed to the "Damages for the '430 Patent (If
10	Applicable)" section to determine whether that section is applicable.
11	Because this case involves two different plaintiffs and two different patents, the answer to
12	the question below should not take into account any of your answers to the questions relating to
13	Verinata or the '430 patent. You should not include in your response any damages that you believe
14	Verinata or any other entity is entitled to, or any damages that you award below for the '430
15	patent.
16	10. What damages has Illumina proven that it is more likely than not entitled to as a
17	result of Ariosa's infringement of the '794 patent?
18	(words)
19	\$ (numbers)
20	
21	B. Damages for the '430 Patent (If Applicable)
22	If, for any claims of the '430 patent, you answered "Yes" to question 6 and "No" to
23	questions 7 and 8, please answer the question below. Otherwise, please proceed to "FINDINGS
24	ON ARIOSA'S COUNTERCLAIMS."
25	Because this case involves two different plaintiffs and two different patents, the answer to
26	the question below should not take into account any of your answers to the questions relating to
27	Illumina or the '794 patent. You should not include in your response any damages that you

believe Illumina or any other entity are entitled to, or any damages that you awarded above for the
'794 patent.
11. What amount has Verinata proven that it is more likely than not entitled to as a
result of Ariosa's infringement of the '430 patent?
(words)
\$ (numbers)
FINDINGS ON ARIOSA'S COUNTERCLAIMS
A. Breach of Contract
12. Has Ariosa proven that it is more likely than not that Illumina breached its
contractual obligations under the 2012 Sale and Supply Agreement?
Yes (for Ariosa) No (for Illumina)
B. Willful or Intentional Injury
13. Has Ariosa proven that it is more likely than not that Illumina willfully or
intentionally injured Ariosa through its breach of contract?
Yes (for Ariosa) No (for Illumina)
C. Breach of Covenant of Good Faith and Fair Dealing
14. Has Ariosa proven that it is more likely than not that Illumina breached the
covenant of good faith and fair dealing implied in the 2012 Sale and Supply Agreement?
Yes (for Ariosa) No (for Illumina)
If you answered "Yes" to question 12 or 14, proceed to question 15. Otherwise, proceed to
the end of the verdict form.

United States District Court

Northern District of California

FINDINGS ON ARIOSA'S CONTRACT DAMAGES (IF APPLICABLE)

15. What is the dollar amount that Ariosa is entitled to receive from Illumina's breach	of			
contract and/or breach of covenant of good faith and fair dealing?				
(words)				
\$(numbers)				
You have now reached the end of the verdict form and should review it to ensure	it			
accurately reflects your unanimous determinations. The Presiding Juror should then sign and d	ate			
the verdict form in the spaces below and notify the Courtroom Deputy that you have reached a				
verdict. The Presiding Juror should retain possession of the verdict form and bring it when the jury				
is brought back into the courtroom.				
Date: By: Presiding Juror	_			